**Analytics for Unstructured Data (F2022) Assignment 2**

**Now due: 29th September by 11:59 p.m.**

**Building a Crowdsourced Recommender System**

**High level description:** The objective of this group assignment is to create the building blocks of a crowdsourced recommender system. It should accept user inputs in the form of desired attributes of a product and come up with 3 recommendations.

Obtain reviews of craft beers from beeradvocate.com. I would suggest using the following link, which shows the top 250 beers sorted by ratings:

<https://www.beeradvocate.com/beer/top-rated/>

The nice feature of the above link is that it is a single-page listing of 250 top-rated beers (avoids the pagination feature, which you need in cases where listings go on for many pages). The way beeradvocate.com organizes reviews is that it provides about 25 reviews per page. The output file should have 3 columns: product\_name, product\_review, and user\_rating.

**Task A.** Extract about 5-6k reviews.

**Task B.** Assume that a customer, who will be using this recommender system, has specified 3 attributes in a product. E.g., one website describes multiple attributes of beer:

<https://www.dummies.com/food-drink/drinks/beer/beer-for-dummies-cheat-sheet/>

* **Aggressive (**Boldly assertive aroma and/or taste)
* **Balanced:** Malt and hops in similar proportions; equal representation of malt sweetness and hop bitterness in the flavor — especially at the finish
* **Complex:** Multidimensional; many flavors and sensations on the palate
* **Crisp:** Highly carbonated; effervescent
* **Fruity:** Flavors reminiscent of various fruits **or Hoppy:** Herbal, earthy, spicy, or citric aromas and flavors of hops o**r Malty:** Grainy, caramel-like; can be sweet or dry
* **Robust:** Rich and full-bodied

Use the above attributes as examples only, for a word frequency analysis of beer reviews is a better way to find important attributes in the actual data.

**Assume that a customer has specified three attributes of the product as being important to him or her.**

**Task C.** Perform a **similarity** analysis using cosine similarity (without word embeddings) with the 3 attributes specified by the customer and the reviews.

The similarity script should accept as input a file with the product attributes, and calculate similarity scores (between 0 and 1) between these attributes and each review. That is, the output file should have 3 columns – product\_name (for each product, the product\_name will repeat as many times as there are reviews of the product), product\_review and similarity\_score.

**Task D.** For every review, perform a sentiment analysis (using VADER). In case you have to change the default values of words in the VADER lexicon, use this article: <https://medium.com/swlh/adding-context-to-unsupervised-sentiment-analysis-7b6693d2c9f8>

**Task E.** Create an evaluation score for each beer that uses both similarity and sentiment scores. E.g., total score = average of (similarity score + sentiment score) or a multiplicative model.

Now **recommend 3 products** to the customer.

**Task F.** How would your recommendation change if you use word vectors (e.g., the spaCy package with medium sized pre trained word vectors) instead of the plain vanilla bag-of-words cosine similarity? One way to analyze the difference would be to consider the % of reviews that mention a preferred attribute. E.g., if you recommend a product, what % of its reviews mention an attribute specified by the customer? Do you see any difference across bag-of-words and word vector approaches? This article may be useful: <https://medium.com/swlh/word-embeddings-versus-bag-of-words-the-curious-case-of-recommender-systems-6ac1604d4424?source=friends_link&sk=d746da9f094d1222a35519387afc6338>

Note that the article doesn’t claim that bag-of-words will always be better than word embeddings for recommender systems. It lays out conditions under which it is likely to be the case. That is, depending on the attributes you use, you may or may not see the same effect.

**Task G.** How would your recommendations differ if you ignored the similarity and feature sentiment scores and simply chose the 3 highest rated products from your entire dataset? Would these products meet the requirements of the user looking for recommendations? Why or why not? Justify your answer with analysis. Use the similarity and sentiment scores as well as overall ratings to answer this question.

**Task H.** Using the top four attributes of beer (from word frequency analysis), calculate the lifts between these attributes and any 10 beers in your data. Choose one beer, and find the most similar beer (among the remaining 9) using the lift values. Explain your method.

These links may be helpful:

<https://medium.datadriveninvestor.com/who-is-your-competitor-in-the-era-of-the-long-tail-d0ac24fedde8>

An actual recommender using this approach: <https://runningshoesforyou.com>

Y**our submission (python notebook) should include the following:**

1. Names of all team members **inside** the python notebook (only one submission per team) including morning/late morning cohort information.
2. All scripts
3. The sentiment and similarity scores for the three products you recommended in task E.
4. Your analyses for and answer to task F. Make sure you show the ratings, similarity scores and sentiments for the products you recommend in tasks E and F. Use tables whenever possible.
5. Show the logic you are using in addition to finding the most similar product.